

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,862	11/05/2003	Michael Resterhouse	FFC-001096 P2	7776	
56973 75	973 7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER		
THE WATSO	THE WATSON INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP, PLC			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
3133 HIGHLA SUITE 200	ND DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	HUDSONVILLE, MI 49426		3724		
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/701,862	RESTERHOUSE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Kenneth E. Peterson	3724		
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
AS WH - Ex aff - If I - Fa	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' IICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dotensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period villure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)⊡ 3)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispos	ition of Claims				
5)[		withdrawn from consideration.			
Applica	ntion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)	ent(s)  tice of References Cited (PTO-892)  cice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  tormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  ther No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/701,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

1. The disclosure is objected to because it is inappropriate to call element 41 a "handle member", since it is not handled. The term "bar" or "link" would be acceptable. Also, at the end of claim 8, the term "the slot of the lower surface of the at least one clamp" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keesling '854, who shows a separator with all of the recited limitations including a frame (figure 1), a post (122,118), a slotted clamp (126a,126b, figure 4), a link member (128), 1st & 2<sup>nd</sup> linkages (142,142), a clamp actuator (130,134,140), a blade (42) and a blade actuator (50,58).

The 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> linkages are connected to the vertical post (122) via a bar (118) and pin (144). If it is argued that the 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> linkages are not *directly* connected to a vertical post, Examiner notes that neither is Applicant's, since Applicant himself has an intervening element (48,50) and pin.

As seen in figure 5, the clamp actuator (130,134,140) is pivotally connected to the frame at one end (right end) and is pivotally connected to the clamp at the other end (left end) via the links (142). If it is argued that the clamp actuator is not *directly* 

connected to the clamp at the left end, then it is noted that neither is Applicant's, since Applicant's himself has an intervening element (41).

Both the clamp and the clamp actuator pivot relative to the frame.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keesling '854, who shows a separator with most of the recited limitations.

Keesling's blade does not have the recited details. Examiner takes Official Notice (now taken as fact) that it is well known for traversing cutters to having concave blade surfaces for cutting in either direction. Examples of this are the patents to King '169 (see figure 3) and Eger '842 (semi-circular cutting edge in figure 5). Additional examples can be provided if needed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Keesling by employing a cutter having opposed concave or semi-circular cutting edges, as is well known and taught by Eger and King, in order to help keep the workpiece vertically centered in the slot.

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant's addition of the 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> linkages has overcome some of the rejections, but not the Keesling rejection. As seen above, the distinguishment of one set of links over a different set of links is a tricky task. It appears that the claims would benefit from further narrowing.

It is noted that Applicant has not corrected all of the objections from the previous action.

Made of record but not relied on are patents to Boda and Markwell showing pertinent clamp linkages.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΚP

April 3, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER